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Abstract

Calculations are carried out for the scattering of heavy rare gas atoms with surfaces using a recently developed classical theory that can track particles trapped in the physisorption potential well and follow them until ultimate desorption. Comparisons are made with recent experimental data for xenon scattering from molten gallium and indium, systems for which the rare gas is heavier than the surface atoms. The good agreement with the data obtained for both time-of-flight energy-resolved spectra and for total scattered angular distributions yields an estimate of the physisorption well depths for the two systems.
I. INTRODUCTION

The scattering of thermal and hyperthermal energy heavy rare gas particles from surfaces composed of atoms of smaller mass presents an interesting problem because in these cases much of the incident beam is trapped at the surface and then subsequently desorbed after spending a substantial amount of time in the physisorption potential well. This large initial trapping fraction arises for two reasons, the mass ratio is large and if viewed in the context of a binary collision this favors forward scattering events in the initial collisions with surface atoms, and for such systems the physisorption well depths are typically large compared to those for smaller mass atoms such as He or Ne. A simple and useful assumption that is often applied in such cases, which was first suggested by Maxwell\(^1\), is to assume that the initially trapped fraction eventually desorbs in equilibrium with the temperature of the surface. Although such an assumption should be considered as only approximate, it has been used for data analysis since the earliest experiments using well-defined incident atomic beams exhibited both direct scattering and trapping-desorption behavior\(^2\)–\(^5\), and it is still currently often used\(^6\)–\(^8\). In some cases, the trapping-desorption fraction is well modeled with an equilibrium (Knudsen flux) distribution evaluated with the temperature of the surface\(^2\)–\(^6\),\(^7\). In other cases a Knudsen flux can be made to compare well with the data but with a temperature other than that of the surface\(^3\),\(^4\),\(^6\),\(^8\), or the polar angular distributions differ from the expected \(\cos \theta_f\) distribution of the Knudsen flux\(^3\),\(^5\),\(^7\), and both of these latter cases imply non-equilibrium behavior of the trapping-desorption fraction.

The purpose of this paper is to carry out calculations with a recently developed theory of gas-surface scattering that can not only treat direct scattering but can also track the initially trapped particles and follow them until they are ultimately desorbed.\(^9\),\(^10\) The gas particles trapped in a physisorption potential can have either positive or negative total energies. Those particles with negative energy are truly bound to the surface and will not desorb until they receive enough energy from the surface in later collisions to enable their escape back into the continuum. The positive energy particles are those that remain moving parallel to the surface with sufficiently large velocities that the total energy is positive, but they are directed at such grazing angles inside the well that they cannot escape. The positive energy part is sometimes called the chattering fraction.

The theory applied here treats the trapped particles, those with both positive and negative
energy, as a series of successive collisions with the surface while bound in the well. Energy transfer occurs only with the repulsive wall, while the attractive part of the potential is considered rigid and gives rise to specular reflection of those particles which have neither sufficient energy nor sufficiently large reflection angles to escape. In fact, the attractive $C_3/z^3$ potential of the asymptotic Van der Waals potential is rigid because it arises from a sum of pairwise atomic Van der Waals potentials over all atoms in the bulk half-space and hence all vibrational motions average to zero. The fact that the scattering is treated as successive collisions allows for an iterative treatment that can be extended to very large trapping times before essentially all of the initially trapped particles are scattered back into the continuum.

The iterative approach to trapping-desorption used here is largely independent of the scattering potential, and for the heavy gas atoms considered we use the scattering model initially treated by Brako and Newns for a smooth surface with vibrational displacement corrugations due to the thermal motions of the underlying substrate atoms.\textsuperscript{11–13} This model has been extended and shown to explain atomic and molecular surface scattering experiments in which the direct scattering contributions are dominant.\textsuperscript{14,15} The work in this paper shows that this same scattering potential model can explain experiments in which there is little or no direct scattering and where the trapping-desorption fraction is dominant.

The calculations are compared with experimental data for the scattering of well-defined incident beams of Xe atoms colliding with molten Ga and In surfaces.\textsuperscript{16} Both total angular distributions and energy-resolved time-of-flight spectra are considered. Comparisons with the angular distributions from a Ga surface confirm earlier observations that collective effects require an effective mass that is somewhat larger than the atomic mass for the liquid Ga. Good agreement is obtained with both angular distributions and energy-resolved spectra which enables an estimation of the physisorption potential well for both the Xe/Ga and the Xe/In systems.

II. THEORY

In a surface scattering event the basic collision is often described in terms of a differential reflection coefficient $dR(p_f, p_i; T_s)/dE_f d\Omega_f$ which gives the probability that a particle with well-defined incident energy impinging on the surface at a given angle will be scattered
into the small interval $dE_f$ centered about the final value $E_f$ and the small solid angle $d\Omega_f$ centered about the final angles $\Omega_f$. The collision with the repulsive surface potential occurs inside the physisorption well which is assumed to be a square well of uniform depth $D$ and width $b$, the width being unimportant as long as it is larger than the vibrational displacements of the selvage region of the surface corrugation. This means that the energy $E'_q$ of a particle inside the well compared to the energy $E_q$ of an equivalent particle outside the well is given by

$$E'_q = E_q + D,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the label $q$ can denote an incident, final or intermediate state. All of the additional energy from the well depth goes only into increasing the normal momentum component $p_{qz}$ of the particle

$$p_{qz}^2 = p_{qz}^2 + 2mD.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Eqs. (1) and (2) describe a refraction of the incident particles towards more normal angles inside the well. The connection between the differential reflection coefficient calculated inside the well and that describing the fraction which escape into the continuum desorption states outside the well is given by a Jacobian that is calculated from Eqs. (1) and (2).

The collision process proceeds as follows: the incident beam of particles approaches the surface, enters the physisorption well and proceeds to strike the repulsive wall where the particles exchange energy and momentum. Upon reflection from the repulsive wall some particles lose sufficient energy that they are trapped in the negative energy states, some are reflected at angles and energies that cause them to enter the positive energy chattering states, while the remainder escape the surface back into the continuum states as the direct scattering fraction. The trapped particles are specularly reflected at the attractive wall of the well and proceed to have a second collision with the repulsive potential.

The whole process repeats. After each collision with the repulsive potential a fraction of the trapped particles, regardless of whether they were in the negative energy trapped states or the positive energy chattering states, are elevated into the positive energy range and also have angular ranges sufficiently close to the surface-normal that allow them to escape the attractive well into the continuum states and desorb.

Thus, after each collision the remaining trapped particles act as the source for subsequent
collisions in a process that can be characterized by the following equation:

\[
\frac{dR^n(p_f, p_i)}{dE_f d\Omega_f} = \frac{dR^n(p_f, p_i)}{dE_f d\Omega_f} + \int dE_b d\Omega_b \frac{dR^0(p_f, p_b)}{dE_f d\Omega_f} \frac{dR^0(p_b, p_i)}{dE_b d\Omega_b} + \int dE_b d\Omega_b \frac{dR^1(p_f, p_b)}{dE_f d\Omega_f} \frac{dR^1(p_b, p_i)}{dE_b d\Omega_b} + \ldots
\]

where \(dR^{n-1}(p_b, p_i)/dE_b d\Omega_b\) is the differential reflection coefficient for the distribution of particles remaining trapped in the bound states after \(n-1\) collisions and the intermediate integrations in the higher order terms are carried out only over angles and energies that pertain to the trapped fraction. The process described in Eq. (3) is readily developed into an iterative procedure that can be continued to very high orders until the fraction of particles remaining trapped is arbitrarily small. The details, including how to handle the differences between the positive and negative energy trapped fractions and how to calculate trapping times, are presented elsewhere.^{9,10}

This procedure depends on the choice of differential reflection coefficient and for the calculations presented here we choose the model first developed by Brako and Newns for a smooth surface whose vibrating surface corrugations are a linear response to the thermal vibrations of the underlying atoms. This is a differential reflection coefficient whose specific form is^{11-13}

\[
\frac{dR(p_f, p_i; T_s)}{dE_f d\Omega_f} = \frac{m^2 v_R^2 |p_f|}{4\pi^3 h^2 p_{iz} S_{u.c.} N_D^0} \left| \tau_{fi} \right|^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{k_B T_s \Delta E_0} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(E_f - E_i + \Delta E_0)^2 + 2 v_R^2 P^2}{4 k_B T_s \Delta E_0} \right\}
\]

where \(\Delta E_0 = (p_f - p_i)^2/2M\) is the recoil energy, \(p_{iz}\) is the \(z\) component of the incident momentum, \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T_s\) is the temperature of the surface, \(|\tau_{fi}|\) is the form factor of the scattering, \(N_D^0\) is the normalization coefficient, \(P = P_f - P_i\) is the parallel momentum exchange and \(S_{u.c.}\) is the area of a surface unit cell. \(v_R\) is a velocity of sound parallel to the surface whose value is estimated to be in the range of the Rayleigh sound velocity. It is a weighted average over all surface vibrational modes and can be calculated if the surface phonon spectral density at the classical turning point is known, however, in this paper \(v_R\) is treated as a parameter as is often the case^{12,17}. The form factor \(\left| \tau_{fi} \right|^2\), in the approximation employed here, is formally the squared amplitude of the quantum-mechanical transition matrix element of the elastic interaction potential.
extended off the energy shell\textsuperscript{18}, where the energy shell is defined as that energy subspace in which the initial and final energy of the particles is identical (i.e., elastic scattering). This approximation accounts for inelastic interactions in which energy is transferred during the collision and the initial and final states of the scattering particle have different energies, but it is the elastic transition operator that is used to calculate the inelastic transition matrix amplitudes. For all calculations presented here we approximate the transition operator by the interaction potential, which is equivalent to the distorted wave Born approximation. Further, we take the hard repulsive wall limit derived from the class of Mott-Jackson-like potentials (e.g., potentials that have an exponential behavior for the repulsive part) which is\textsuperscript{19}

\begin{equation}
\tau_{fi} = 4p_{fz}p_{iz}/m
\end{equation}

The above expression for the form factor has proven to be satisfactory for calculations of atomic and molecular scattering from surfaces in which the direct scattering component was dominant.\textsuperscript{14,15}

The quantity to be compared with the time-of-flight spectra after conversion to energy transfer is the differential reflection coefficient. There is also a correction for the $1/v_f$ velocity dependence of the experimental detector and for the comparisons made here this correction was applied to the data. The measured angular distributions are summed over all final energies and are compared with the differential reflection coefficient per unit final solid angle which is the integral over all final energies of the differential reflection coefficient according to

\begin{equation}
\frac{dR}{d\Omega_f} = \int_0^\infty dE_f \frac{dR(p_f,p_i;T_s)}{dE_fd\Omega_f}
\end{equation}

Collision times can be calculated by using the differential reflection coefficient of the trapped particles as a distribution function to calculate average times per collision iteration and the details are given in Ref. \textsuperscript{[9]}. For a potential with a square well the collision times are proportional to the well width $b$ and for all calculations presented here we have chosen $b = 3$ Å. The collision times are also calculated under the assumption that effectively all of the initially trapped particles are desorbed when a fraction of only 1% of the initially incident particles remain in the well.
III. COMPARISON WITH DATA

A. Xenon on Indium

Calculations for the energy-resolved intensity spectrum of a well-defined beam of Xe atoms scattering from a molten In surface are presented in Fig. 1. The incident energy is $E_i = 6 \text{ kJ/mol} (62 \text{ meV})$ and the incident angle is $\theta_i = 55^\circ$. The final polar angular position of the detector is $\theta_f = 65^\circ$ and lies in the scattering plane in the quadrant opposite to that of the incident beam. The surface temperature is 436 K which is 6 K above the melting point. The calculations are compared with recent measurements by Nathanson et al.\textsuperscript{16} For reference, an equilibrium Knudsen flux distribution given by

$$
\frac{dP^K(p_i, T_G)}{dE_i d\Omega_i} = \frac{E_i \cos \theta_i}{\pi (k_B T_G)^2} \exp \left\{ \frac{-E_i}{k_B T_G} \right\},
$$

(7)

is also shown.

There is no evidence in the data for a distinct direct scattering peak, and this is consistent with the calculations which give an initial trapping fraction of approximately 99% and virtually no direct scattering for these incident conditions. The lack of significant direct scattering is not unexpected for two reasons: first, the well depth is expected to be large compared to the incident energy favoring trapping processes, and second, because the incident energy is not much larger than the most probable energy of the equilibrium distribution at this surface temperature. It is interesting that, although the scattered spectrum is roughly of the same form as the equilibrium Knudsen distribution, there are significant differences especially on the high energy side where the scattered particles actually gain energy from the surface.

The calculations with $v_R = 400 \text{ m/s}$ agree quite well with the data, significantly better than the agreement with the equilibrium curve. Calculations carried out for values of the parameter $v_R$ that are roughly 25% larger or smaller are nearly the same indicating that the results are not highly dependent on the choice of this parameter. The well depth predicted by the calculation is $D = 180 \text{ meV}$. The well depth for this system has not been measured by independent experiments but this value is in the expected range for potentials of Xe interacting with other metal surfaces.\textsuperscript{20,21} It is interesting to note that we have attempted to analyze the data of Fig. 1, as well as other data for rare gas scattering from liquid metals at low incident energies\textsuperscript{22}, by subtracting an equilibrium Knudsen distribution from the data
and then matching the residual intensity with the direct scattering calculated from Eq. (4). This procedure typically results in significantly smaller estimates for the well depths $D$ than the full multiple-scattering formalism used here, and for the Xe/In system of Fig. 1 this produces a well depth of only 50 meV.\textsuperscript{23}

Fig. 1 shows how the calculated spectrum develops as the trapped particles make continued interactions with the surface inside the well. Initially, almost all of the incident particles are trapped. After 5 collision iterations, labeled $n = 5$ in Fig. 1, more than 98\% of the incident particles still remain trapped. Only after more than 2500 collisions are the particles essentially all desorbed, at which point the dominant trapping-desorption spectrum explains very well the measured intensity. The trapping time, assuming an arbitrary cut-off of less than 1\% of the incident particles remaining in the well and assuming a well width $b = 3\,\text{Å}$, is $\tau = 2.2 \times 10^{-9}\text{ s}$.

**B. Xenon on Gallium**

Three examples of the angular distributions scattered by a well-defined beam of Xe incident on a molten Ga surface are shown in Fig. 2. The incident energy is $E_i = 6\,\text{kJ/mol}$, the incident polar angle with respect to the surface normal is $\theta_i = 55^\circ$ and the three different temperatures are $T_S = 308, 436$ and $586\,\text{K}$. The detector is always positioned in the scattering plane and final polar angles with values less than zero indicate that the detector is in the same quadrant as the incident beam. The experimental data is taken from Ref. \textsuperscript{[16]} and an equilibrium Knudsen flux $\cos \theta_f$ curve is also shown.

The calculations indicate that at all final angles trapping-desorption is the dominant process and direct scattering is negligible. At all three temperatures the calculated curves agree reasonably well with the data, especially for positive $\theta_f$. For final angles in the same quadrant as the incident beam the agreement is somewhat less good and those discrepancies are accentuated as the temperature increases. In this region of negative final angles, the experimentally measured intensities are less than the calculated predictions and less than that expected from a $\cos \theta_f$ behavior, with the differences becoming more pronounced with higher temperatures. The calculated intensities do not depend strongly on temperature in this region. The reasons for the discrepancy between calculations and data for negative angles are not clear.
Calculations are shown for two different well depths, 160 and 180 meV. Although there is not a large difference between the two, the calculations for 160 meV appear to agree slightly better with the data.

All calculations shown in Fig. 2 were carried out using an effective mass for Ga that is 1.65 times that of the Ga atomic mass. The use of an effective mass is consistent with two other analyses of rare gas scattering from liquid Ga. An earlier analysis of Ne and Ar scattering from several liquid metals at higher energies where direct scattering was the dominant contribution showed that agreement with the data for Ga could not be obtained without using a larger effective mass, whereas for liquid In and Bi no increase of the effective mass above the atomic mass was necessary. More recent and much more extensive data, when analyzed with similar theoretical models, showed the same anomaly: a larger effective mass for Ga was needed to explain scattering measurements of the rare gases Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe but not for liquid In and Bi. Although the physical origins of the need for a larger effective mass for Ga are not clearly understood, this may be related to the fact that independent measurements show a large degree of residual layered ordering in liquid Ga that persists even for temperatures higher than considered here, whereas for the other two low melting point metals such layering behavior is much less pronounced. Layering is indicative of a more rigid structuring in liquid Ga and hence may imply that the projectile collides with an effective mass on the surface that is heavier than a single Ga atom.

Just as for the case of the Xe/In interaction potential discussed above, the value of the physisorption well depth is not known for Xe/Ga. However, the prediction obtained here of \( D \approx 160 - 180 \) meV is in line with values estimated for Xe-metal interaction potentials. An earlier analysis of the present data obtained by estimating the trapping-desorption fraction by subtracting an equilibrium cosine distribution from the data produced an estimate of the well depth of a rather unrealistically small value of approximately 100 meV. This current calculation seems not only to explain the scattering data better, but produces a more realistic value of the well depth.

Fig. 3 shows the calculation and data for \( T_S = 436 \) K of Fig. 2 b) but also shows the development of the trapping-desorption intensity as a function of collision iteration number. After the initial collision essentially all of the incident particles are trapped. Even after 500 collision iterations there still remains nearly half the particles in the trapped states. Only after more than 2500 iterations are essentially all particles desorbed, corresponding to a
trapping time of $\tau = 2.19 \times 10^{-9}$ s under the assumption of a well width of 3 Å.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have applied a straightforward classical theoretical model for scattering from a smooth surface to the case in which the trapping-desorption processes are the dominant scattering mechanism. This same smooth surface model has been shown in several previous studies to give good explanations of the scattering behavior when direct scattering is the dominant mechanism in atomic and molecular surface scattering.\textsuperscript{14,15}

For the present work, we consider situations with large projectile-to-surface mass ratios and deep physisorption potential well depths, conditions in which the initial collision with the surface results in nearly complete trapping. The subsequent desorption of the physisorbed fraction is modeled by an iterative process in which the trapped particles continue to make collisions while inside the well and at each collision a small fraction escape. Eventually, the calculation can be followed to large iterations until all particles desorb.

Calculations are compared with recent data published for thermal energy beams of xenon scattering from molten indium and gallium. For both of these systems it was noted that the scattering was nearly entirely trapping-desorption in nature, but both the measured energy-resolved and angular distribution spectra resembled only approximately the Knudsen flux distributions expected for a gas escaping from a surface in equilibrium. However, the calculations reported here explain the data reasonably well and give predictions of well depths for both systems in the expected range of 160-180 meV.

The fact that the experimentally measured distributions in Figs. 1 and 2 differ from an equilibrium Knudsen flux distribution in both energy and angular behavior is interesting in view of the fact that the calculations indicate that the trapping fractions after the initial collision are close to unity. Previous calculations with similar theoretical models have indicated that the trapping-desorption fraction should leave the surface in a Knudsen flux distribution when both the initial trapping is nearly unity and the average trapping time is long\textsuperscript{9,10}. In the present case, our calculated trapping times are about $2 \times 10^{-9}$ s, which is about an order of magnitude shorter than for the earlier calculations that exhibited Knudsen desorption behavior. It appears that the shorter trapping times in the present case do not allow the desorbing atoms to come to complete equilibrium with the surface.
In addition to the Xe/In and Xe/Ga systems presented here, numerous additional calculations have been carried out with this theoretical model and compared with available data for the scattering of thermal energy rare gases at liquid metal surfaces. The calculations generally agree well with the data and produce well depth predictions that are reasonable. The calculational method also provides a means of examining the behavior of the scattering process while the initially physisorbed particles are desorbing, and it gives estimates of the trapping times. Thus this theory seems to be a useful model for simulating atomic and molecular scattering under conditions in which the trapping-desorption fraction is significant.
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