**Combinatorial Analyis** 

Fall 2010

Lecture 21: October 04

Lecturer: Neil Calkin

Scribe: Grady Thomas and Honghai Xu

**Disclaimer**: These notes are intended for students in the class listed above: they are not guaranteed to be complete or even necessarily correct. They may only be redistributed with permission, which you may expect will be liberally granted. Ask first, please.

## 21.1 What is the distribution of cycles in a random permutation?

Specifically if we pick a permutation of 1, 2, ..., n and a fixed integer k, what is the probability that there are exactly k fixed points?

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P}(\pi \text{ has no fixed points}) &= \frac{D_n}{n!} \simeq \frac{1}{e} \\ \text{How many permutations have exactly one fixed point? } \binom{n}{1} D_{n-1} \\ \text{How many permutations have exactly k fixed points? } \binom{n}{k} D_{n-k} \\ \text{Denote, temporarily, } \lfloor x + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor \text{ to be the nearest integer to x so,} \end{split}$$

$$P(\pi \text{ has exactly k fixed points}) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} D_{n-k}$$
$$= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\lfloor \frac{(n-k)!}{e} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor}{(n-k)!}$$
$$\simeq \frac{1}{k!e}$$
$$= \frac{e^{-1}}{k!} + error_{n,k}, \text{ where } |error_{n,k}| < \frac{1}{k!(n-k+1)!}$$

Asymptotically, the number of fixed points is almost Poisson, with mean 1. So, expected number of fixed points is about E[X] where  $X \sim Poisson(1)$ , so E[X] = 1

Can we count 2-cycles?

One Approach: Compute the probability that there are no 2-cycles. Prove some general abstract nonsense (here, Poisson paradigm) to show distribution is asymptotically Poisson, and deduce the mean.

Exponential generating function for permutations without 2-cycles is

$$\exp\{-\log(1-x) - \frac{x^2}{2}\} = \frac{e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}}}{1-x}$$

We could, if we choose, write  $e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}} = \sum \frac{x^{2k}}{k!} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k}$  and compute exactly as we did with  $D_n$ . Excercise: Compute as we did with  $D_n$ , get a similar "nearest integer" result.

Alternative Approach: Since  $\frac{e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}}}{1-x}$  blows up at x=1 we know that if  $\frac{e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}}}{1-x} = \sum_{n\geq 0} t_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$ , then for any  $|r| < 1, \frac{r^n t_n}{n!} \to 0$  and for any |R > 1,  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{R^n t_n}{n!} \to \infty$ 

This suggest  $t_n \approx cn!$ .

Let's see if we can eliminate the singularity at x = 1. At x = 1,  $e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$  behaves like  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Near x = 1,  $\frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{1-x}$  behaves like  $\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{1-x}$ . So, consider  $\frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{1-x} - \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{1-x}$ , say, for  $x = 1 - \delta$ .  $\frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{1-x} - \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{1-x} = \frac{e^{-\frac{(1-\delta)^2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta} \cdot (e^{\delta - \frac{\delta^2}{2}} - 1)$ 

As 
$$\delta \to 0$$
,  $\left(\frac{e^{\delta - \frac{\delta^2}{2}} - 1}{\delta}\right) \to 1$ . Hence,  $\frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - x}$  has no singularities in  $C$ , and its coefficients approach

0 faster than  $\epsilon^n$  for all  $\epsilon > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{t^n}{n!} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}} + O(\epsilon^n)$ , for any fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Therefore, the probability of an arbitrary permutation  $\pi$  has no two-cycles  $\rightarrow e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Similarly, the probability of an arbitrary permutation  $\pi$  has no k-cycles  $\rightarrow e^{-\frac{1}{k}}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Exercise : Prove this proposition.

Hence a poisson paradigm Theorem would tell us that the number of k-cycles is poisson with mean  $\approx \frac{1}{k}$  and hence the number of k-cycles is  $\approx \frac{1}{k}$ .

As a collary, the expected number of cycles in a random permutation on  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} E(\text{the number of k-cycles}) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \approx H_n.$$
$$H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \approx \log n + r + O(\frac{1}{n}),$$

where r is the Euler Mascheroni Constant.

Let's check the above formula. We notice that for n = 1, 2, 3, 4, the formula is more than just an approximation. The equality holds, as the following table shows.

| n | $H_n$                                        | permutations                                  | E(number of cycles)                                                                                        |
|---|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 1                                            | (1)                                           | 1                                                                                                          |
| 2 | $1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{2}$              | (1)(2);(12)                                   | $\frac{3}{2}$                                                                                              |
| 3 | $\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{11}{6}$   | (1)(2)(3);(1)(23);(2)(13);(3)(12);(123);(132) | $\frac{11}{6}$                                                                                             |
| 4 | $\frac{11}{6} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{25}{12}$ |                                               | $\frac{1 \times 4 + 6 \times 3 + 3 \times 2 + 8 \times 2 + 6 \times 1}{4 + 3 + 2 + 2 + 1} = \frac{25}{12}$ |

Table 21.1: Comparison of values of  ${\cal H}_n$  and E(number of cycles)

Exercise : How far does this pattern continue?