# **Concentration polarization modeling for high-pressure membranes with engineered surface features** Zuo Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Steven T. Weinman<sup>2</sup>, Sapna Sarupria<sup>2</sup>, Scott M. Husson<sup>2</sup>, Ilenia Battiato<sup>3</sup>, and David A. Ladner<sup>1</sup>

# College of ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE

# 1: Motivation & Objectives

#### Motivation

- Concentration polarization reduced performance of membranes in terms of flux and water quality.
- Fouling is more problematic when concentration polarization is high.

### **Objectives**

- Develop a computational framework to predict concentration polarization of patterned membranes.
- Evaluate the effect of hydrodynamics on concentration profiles.
- Explore the performance of different membrane patterns.

## 2: Model Introduction

#### Introduction

- Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) uses numerical analysis to solve and analyze fluid flow problems.
- Models were built with COMSOL Multiphysics (version 5.3).

#### Geometry

- Block: width 4.096 mm, depth 2.048 mm, height 8 mm.
- Eight geometry types: elementary shapes including line and grooves, pillars, chords, and pyramids. Mesh: boundary layer along the membrane surface due to drastic gradient changes.
- A pattern size of 512  $\mu$ m was studied first, and then four smaller sizes were studied: 2  $\mu$ m, 8  $\mu$ m, 32  $\mu$ m, and 128 μm. Velocity was normalized in different geometries based on a total channel height of 16 mm.



(Wall abcd: moving wall. Wall abfe and wall dcgh: periodic boundaries. Membranes are located at efgh. Inlet concentration: 0.025 M.)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences, Clemson University <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Clemson University <sup>3</sup>School of Earth, Energy & Environmental Sciences, Stanford University





#### 3: Results & Discussion **PERMEATE FLUX VS PATTERN SIZES** Fillet (=0.2·Height) Feature Height **Between-Feature** Length (µm) (=0.5·Length) (µm) Distance (=Length) (µm) $(\mu m)$ 2 µm 0.2 μm 8 µm 0.8 µm 32 µm 3.2 μm 128 µm 12.8 µm 512 µm 51.2 μm LG Rectangle LG Trapezoid LG Circle Rectangular pillar Circular pillar LG Triangle O Pyramid Flat 8 16 32 64 128 256 5121024 2 4 8 16 32 64 128 256 5121024 Size (um) Size (µm)

#### 4: Conclusions and Looking Forward

Analytical solutions were used to validate modeling results among all the flat membranes.

Seven membranes with different surface features were scrutinized and compared with flat membranes. None of the patterns reduced CP.

Patterns created higher surface areas compared to a flat membrane, leading to a higher nominal flux through the membrane surface in the models.

The fouling mitigation seen in experiments with nanoscale patterns is likely due to other factors. Future studies will incorporate adsorptive fouling.

#### **5: Acknowledgements**

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation, Designing Materials to Revolutionize and Engineer our Future (DMREF) program, under

• Computational resources provided by the Palmetto Cluster at Clemson University.

#### **6: Selected References**

. V., P. R. Bérubé, and E. R. Hall. "Relationship between types of surface shear stress profiles and membrane fouling." 2: Radu, A. I., et al. "Spacer geometry and particle deposition in spiral wound membrane feed channels." Water research 64 (2014)

3:Won, Young-June, et al. "Correlation of membrane fouling with topography of patterned membranes for water treatment."